the Director of the Office of Pipeline Regulation. The cost limits for calendar years 1982 through 1995, as published in Table I of § 157.208(d) and Table II of § 157.215(a), are hereby issued.

Note that these inflation adjustments are based on the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Implicit Price Deflator rather than the Gross National Product (GNP) Implicit Price Deflator, which is not yet available for 1994. The Commerce Department advises that in recent years the annual change has been virtually the same for both indices. Further adjustments will be made, if necessary.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 157

Natural gas.

Robert J. Cupina,

Deputy Director, Office of Pipeline Regulation.

Accordingly, 18 CFR Part 157 is amended as follows:

PART 157—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 157 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 717–717w, 3301–3432; 42 U.S.C. 7101–7352.

§157.208 [Amended]

2. Table I in § 157.208(d) is revised to read as follows:

§157.208 Construction, acquisition, operation, and miscellaneous rearrangement of facilities.

* * * * * * (d) * * *

TABLE I

	Limit	
Year	Automobile projected cost limit (col. 1)	Prior notice projected cost limit (col. 2)
1982	\$4,200,000	\$12,000,000
1983	4,500,000	12,800,000
1984	4,700,000	13,300,000
1985	4,900,000	13,800,000
1986	5,100,000	14,300,000
1987	5,200,000	14,700,000
1988	5,400,000	15,100,000
1989	5,600,000	15,600,000
1990	5,800,000	16,000,000
1991	6,000,000	16,700,000
1992	6,200,000	17,300,000
1993	6,400,000	17,700,000
1994	6,600,000	18,100,000
1995	6,700,000	18,400,000

§157.215 [Amended]

3. Table II in § 157.215(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 157.215 Underground storage testing and development.

(a) * * *

TABLE II

Limit
\$2,700,000
2,900,000
3,000,000
3,100,000
3,200,000
3,300,000
3,400,000
3,500,000
3,600,000
3,800,000
3,900,000
4,000,000
4,100,000
4,200,000

[FR Doc. 95–2707 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

29 CFR Part 825

RIN 1215-AA85

The Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993; Deferral of Effective Date of Regulations

AGENCY: Wage and Hour Division, Labor.

ACTION: Deferral of effective date of regulations.

SUMMARY: This document defers the effective date, from February 6, 1995, to April 6, 1995, of Labor Department regulations implementing the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 CFR Part 825, which were published in the Federal Register Friday, January 6, 1995 (60 FR 2180). This action is taken in order to provide the public additional time to implement the final regulations, including, where appropriate, to revise policies and procedures.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This document is effective February 3, 1995. The effective date of the final Family and Medical Leave Act regulations published in the **Federal Register** on January 6, 1995 (60 FR 2180), is hereby deferred until April 6, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

J. Dean Speer, Division of Policy and Analysis, Wage and Hour Division, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S– 3506, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 219–8412. This is not a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Final regulations implementing the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA), 29 U.S.C. 2601 et seq., were published in the Federal Register on January 6, 1995, with an effective date of February 6, 1995 (see 60 FR 2180). In response to requests that the Department of Labor provide additional time to enable employers and other members of the public to comply with their new responsibilities and to implement revised policies and procedures consistent with the final regulations, the Department is deferring, from February 6, 1995, until April 6, 1995, the effective date of the final Family and Medical Leave Act regulations published on January 6, 1995.

Because these rules are scheduled to become effective very shortly, notice and public comment on this change of effective date is impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest, and good cause exists for making this deferral effective immediately.

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 825

Employee benefit plans, Health, Health insurance, Labor management relations, Maternal and child health, Teachers.

Authority: The statutory authority for this action is as follows: 29 U.S.C. 2654; Secretary's Order 1–93 (58 FR 21190).

Signed in Washington, DC, this 31st day of January, 1995.

Maria Echaveste,

Administrator, Wage and Hour Division. [FR Doc. 95–2702 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD07-93-086]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Sarasota, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: At the request of the Sarasota/ Manatee Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), the (bridge owner), the Coast Guard is changing the regulations governing the Siesta Key Drawbridge on SR758, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway mile 71.6, across Roberts Bay at Sarasota, Sarasota County, Florida. This change is intended to relieve highway congestion while still meeting the reasonable needs of navigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 6, 1995. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ian L MacCartney, Project Manager, Bridge Section, at (305) 536–6546.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Drafting Information

The principal persons involved in drafting this document are Ian L. MacCartney, Project Manager, and LT. J. M. Losego, Project Counsel.

Regulatory History

On September 16, 1994, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking entitled Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Sarasota, FL in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 47577). The Coast Guard received five letters commenting on the proposal. A public hearing was not requested and one was not held.

Background and Purpose

This drawbridge presently opens on signal except that from 11 a.m. to 6 p.m., on weekend and holidays, the draw need open only on the hour, quarter hour, half hour, and three quarter hour. The MPO and FDOT requested that the bridge open only on the hour and half hour during certain periods of each day to help reduce traffic delays, particularly during morning and afternoon commuter hours and on busy weekends. A comparison of highway traffic volumes between 1985 and 1993 indicated there has been an overall increase in traffic volume with current levels exceeding highway capacity on weekday afternoons during the winter season without any bridge openings.

The number of bridge openings continue to average less than two per hour with a maximum of 4 openings per hour being experienced periodically during the winter season. Based on analysis of the highway traffic and bridge opening data provided by FDOT on June 28, 1993, the Coast Guard determined that most of the weekday delays were caused by back to back bridge openings which did not allow accumulated vehicle traffic to clear before the next opening. The Coast Guard concluded that a 30 minute opening schedule was not warranted, but as a compromise, tested a 20 minute opening schedule which would limit openings to 3 times per hour daily, year around. This test was conducted from January 1, 1994, to February 23, 1994. The results demonstrated that strong

cross winds, heavy currents and shallow water adjacent to the channel near the bridge create holding conditions for northbound vessels that could become unsafe for navigation if the opening schedule is extended to 30 minutes. A daily 20 minute schedule would help reduce traffic delays without significantly increasing the danger to vessels caused by the potentially unsafe holding conditions.

Discussion of Comments and Changes

Two commenters were in favor of the year round 20 minute opening schedule. One commenter opposed any increase in vessel delays due to unsafe holding conditions near the bridge. He suggested extending the existing 15 minute schedule to include peak weekday traffic periods and recommended improving local traffic light coordination with the bridge openings. One commenter recommended openings on the hour and half hour similar to the nearby Ringling Causeway Drawbridge. Another commenter recommended sailboats be required to operate offshore and pay a fee for each bridge opening to minimize impacts on highway traffic. The Coast Guard has carefully considered these comments and has concluded the 20 minute schedule will reduce bridge openings without creating unsafe holding conditions for waiting vessels. FDOT will be encouraged to install traffic light preemption programs which should help reduce cross traffic delays and improve vehicular dispersal after each bridge opening.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979) is unnecessary. We conclude this because the rule exempts tugs with tows.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities. "Small entities" include independently owned and operated small businesses that are not dominant in their field and that otherwise qualify as "small business concerns" under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632). Because it expects the impact of this proposal to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies under section 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Collection of Information

This rule contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposal under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and has determined that this proposal does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that, under section 2.B.2.g.(5) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, promulgation of operating requirements or procedures for drawbridges is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A Categorical Exclusion Determination is available for inspection or copying at the above address.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g).

2. In § 117.287, paragraph (b-1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 117.287 Gulf Intracoastal Waterway.

(b-1) The draw of the Siesta Key bridge, mile 71.6 at Sarasota, shall open on signal, except that, from 11 a.m. to 6 p.m. daily, the draw need open only on the hour, 20 minutes past the hour, and 40 minutes past the hour.

* * * * *

Dated: January 18, 1995.

W.P. Leahy,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 95–2650 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Parts 74 and 75

Administration of Grants and Agreements With Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Non-Profit Organizations; Direct Grant Programs

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Final regulations.

SUMMARY: The Secretary amends 34 CFR parts 74 and 75 to add the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control numbers to certain sections of the regulations. Those sections contain information collection requirements approved by OMB. The Secretary takes this action to inform the public that these requirements have been approved. EFFECTIVE DATE: These regulations are effective on February 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Vick, U. S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3636, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, D.C. 20202. Telephone (202) 708–8199. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 10, 1994, final regulations for Direct Grant Programs (part 75) were published in the Federal Register at 59 FR 30258, and on July 6, 1994, final regulations for the Administration of Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Non-Profit Organizations (part 74) were published in the Federal Register at 59 FR 34722. Compliance with information collection requirements in 34 CFR 74.12, 74.21, 74.25, 74.34, 74.44, 74.45, 74.46, 74.47, 74.51, 74.52, 74.53, 74.71, 74.72, 75.118 and 75.590 was delayed until those requirements were approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. OMB approved the information collection requirements in the regulations for part 75 on June 3, 1994 and for part 74 on July 12, 1994.

Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

In accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), it is the practice of the Secretary to offer interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, the publication of OMB control numbers is purely technical and does not establish substantive policy. Therefore, the Secretary has determined under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), that proposed rulemaking is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest and that a delayed effective date is not required under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3).

List of Subjects

34 CFR Part 74

Administrative practice and procedure, Education Department, Grant programs-education, Grant administration, Hospitals, Institutions of higher education, Non-profit organizations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

34 CFR Part 75

Education Department, Discretionary grant programs, Continuation funding, Grant administration, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Performance reports, Unobligated funds.

Dated: January 27, 1995.

Donald R. Wurtz,

Chief Financial Officer.

The Secretary amends Parts 74 and 75 of Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 74—ADMINISTRATION OF GRANTS AND AGREEMENTS WITH INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION, HOSPITALS, AND OTHER NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 74 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e–3(a)(1) and 3474; OMB Circular A–110, unless otherwise noted.

2. Sections 74.12, 74.21, 74.25, 74.34, 74.44, 74.45, 74.46, 74.47, 74.51, 74.52, 74.53, 74.71, and 74.72 are amended by adding the OMB control number at the end of these sections to read as follows: "(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1880–0513)"

PART 75—DIRECT GRANT PROGRAMS

3. The authority citation for part 75 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 20 US.C. 1221e-3(a)(1) and 3474, unless otherwise noted.

§75.118 [Amended]

§75.599 [Amended]

4. Sections 75.118 and 75.590 are amended by adding the OMB control number at the end of these sections to

read as follows: "(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1875–0102)"

[FR Doc. 95–2659 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 3

RIN 2900-AH35

Compensation for Certain Undiagnosed Illnesses

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document amends the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) adjudication regulations to authorize compensation for disabilities resulting from the undiagnosed illnesses of Persian Gulf veterans. This amendment provides the necessary regulatory framework to allow the Secretary to pay compensation under the authority granted by the Persian Gulf War Veterans' Benefits Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 2, 1994, the effective date of Title I of Public Law 103–446.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Thornberry, Consultant, Regulations Staff, Compensation and Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20420, telephone (202) 273–7210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: "The Persian Gulf War Veterans' Benefits Act," Title I of Public Law 103-446, authorizes the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to compensate any Persian Gulf veteran suffering from a chronic disability resulting from an undiagnosed illness or combination of undiagnosed illnesses that became manifest either during active duty in the Southwest Asia theater of operations during the Persian Gulf War or to a degree of 10 percent or more within a presumptive period following such service, as determined by the Secretary. On December 8, 1994, VA published a proposed rule to implement the statute (59 FR 63283-85). We requested that comments to the proposed rule be submitted on or before January 9, 1995. We received 10 comments.

We had proposed that, for the purposes of § 3.317, a disability resulting from an undiagnosed illness would be considered chronic if it had existed for 6 months or more. One commenter recommended that VA consider disabilities subject to